## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ZEXIN ZHANG and YUNJIE LI, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

KEITH NG, et al.,

Defendants.

USDC SDNY	
DOCUMENT	
ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
DOC #:	<u>'</u>
DATE FILED:	2/28/2020
1795407	012

CORRECTED ORDER OF DISMISSAL

## DEBRA FREEMAN, United States Magistrate Judge:

In this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New York Labor Law, which is before this Court on the consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties, having reached an agreement in principle to resolve the action, have placed their proposed settlement agreement before the Court for approval. *See Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 1999 (2d Cir. 2015) (requiring judicial fairness review of FLSA settlements). The parties have also submitted a letter to the Court, explaining why they believe the proposed settlement agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. (Dkt. 46.) The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions in order to determine whether the proposed agreement (Dkt. 46-1) represents a reasonable compromise of the claims asserted in this action, and, in light of the totality of the relevant circumstances, including the representations made in the parties' letter, the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, and this Court's own familiarity with the strengths and weaknesses of the parties' positions (as became evident during an arms-length settlement mediation conducted by this Court), it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The Court finds that the terms of the proposed settlement agreement are fair,

reasonable, and adequate, both to redress Plaintiffs' claims in this action and to compensate

Plaintiffs' counsel for their legal fees, and the agreement is therefore approved.

2. The Court notes that the parties have requested that the Court retain jurisdiction

over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the parties' settlement agreement (see Dkt. 46, at 5),

and that their proposed agreement expressly contemplates that the Court will do so (see

Dkt. 46-1  $\P$  3(c)). In light of this, and in order to effectuate the evident intent of the parties, this

Court will retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the settlement.

3. As a result of the Court's approval of the parties' executed settlement agreement,

this action is hereby discontinued with prejudice and without costs or fees to any party. The

Clerk of Court is directed to close this case on the Docket of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York February 28, 2020

SO ORDERED

DEBRA FREEMAN

United States Magistrate Judge

Copies to:

All counsel (via ECF)